

because despite the inclusion of the extra population density determining step in Group I, the methods are essentially identical. The Examiner will be searching virtually the same art in both cases and has created an unnecessary financial burden for the Applicants in maintaining two applications. In addition, Applicants have introduced new claim 21 which depends from claim 1 and includes features of claim 19. Applicants also reserve the right to timely file a divisional application on the non-elected claims. In the event that the Examiner determines that there are issues remaining which may be resolved by a telephone conference, she is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned Attorney at the telephone number listed below.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please add new claim 21 as follows:

21. (New) The method of claim 1 wherein the flies to be controlled are selected from the group consisting of *Haematobia irritans* (horn fly), *Musca domestica* L. (house fly), *M. autumnales* (face fly) and *Stomoxys calcitrans* (L.) (stable fly), wherein the food compound attractive of wasps is a honey-containing food compound, and said honeycomb devices are wasp's hives and are disposed at a height from the ground effective to prevent the hive from being contacted by any mammalian inhabiting the area.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by the current amendment. The attached Appendix is captioned "Version with markings to show changes made."

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By

Lawrence J. Crain

Registration No. 31,497

Attorney for Applicants

January 15, 2003

Suite 2500  
300 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Tel: (312) 360-0080  
Fax: (312) 360-9315

K:\2203\65868\ELECTRES.doc